

ON THE EXISTENCE OF GLOBALLY SOLVABLE VECTOR FIELDS IN SMOOTH MANIFOLDS

JOSÉ RUIDIVAL DOS SANTOS FILHO AND JOAQUIM TAVARES

ABSTRACT. Let (M, ω_0) be a connected paracompact smooth oriented manifold. We establish a necessary and sufficient conditions on an involutive subbundle of TM such that M becomes simply connected.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that an obstruction to the existence of $\dim M - k + 1$ real linearly independent vector fields on a manifold M is in the k th cohomology group of M , the so called k th Stiefel-Whitney class. We mean that the k th Stiefel-Whitney class being nonzero implies that there do not exist everywhere linearly independent vector fields. In particular, the $\dim M$ th Stiefel-Whitney class is the obstruction to the existence of an everywhere nonzero vector field, and the first Stiefel-Whitney class of a manifold is the obstruction to orientability. Thus if one wishes to assume a hypotheses of existence k linearly independent vector fields in an orientable manifold it certainly imposes the vanishing of such Stiefel-Whitney classes. But it is not evident that additional hypothesis of integrability and global solvability of the vector fields implies in the triviality of the all l th cohomology groups of M for $l > 0$. In fact we can map M difeomorphically onto an open convex set in $\mathbb{R}^{\dim M}$ as we will see below.

2. SOLVABILITY FOR NON VANISHING REAL VECTOR FIELDS

In this section we will consider a smooth manifold M endowed with a set $\mathfrak{L} = \{L_1, \dots, L_k\}$ of linearly independent real vector fields. We intend firstly to present

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 35A05; Secondary 54C25.

Key words and phrases. injective maps, real vector fields.

equivalent conditions to the simultaneous global solvability of the linear differential operators defined by these vector fields in a smooth manifold. First we now restate Theorem 6.3.3, Theorem 6.3.4, Theorem 6.4.1 and Theorem 6.4.2 of [DH] in a set of equivalent conditions for the linear differential operator defined by a vector field. Let us denote by $\mathbf{L}_L$ the Lie derivative along the vector field L . We introduce a fixed orientation ω_0 for the manifold M in order to define the second order operator $\mathbf{L}_L^2 \omega = d(L[d(L[\omega])])$ acting in $\wedge^{\dim M} T^*M$ to state in a more precise way the equivalent statement in Theorem 6.4.2 c) in [DH].

Theorem 2.0.1. *Let (M, ω_0) be a smooth positively oriented connected manifold and L a non vanishing real vector field on M . Then the following conditions (A), (B), (C), (D), (E) and (F) are equivalent:*

- (A) $\mathbf{L}_L (\wedge^{\dim M} T^*M) = \wedge^{\dim M} T^*M$.
- (B) $[\mathbf{L}_L + a] (\wedge^{\dim M} T^*M) = \wedge^{\dim M} T^*M$ for every $a \in C^\infty(M)$
- (C)₁ *There exist $\omega \in \wedge^{\dim M} T^*M$ such that $\mathbf{L}_L^2 \omega = \omega_0$ and*
- (C)₂ *if $\omega = u \omega_0$ then $\{p \in M : u(p) \leq c\}$ is compact for every c ,*
(or (C)₂' if $\varphi_L(K) = \cup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \varphi_L(t)(K)$ then for every compact subset $K \subset M$ the set $\{p \in \varphi(K) : u(p) \leq c\}$ is compact.)
- (D) *There exist a manifold M_0 and an open neighborhood M_1 of $M_0 \times 0$ in $M_0 \times \mathbb{R}$ which is convex in the $\mathbb{R}$ direction, and a diffeomorphism $M \rightarrow M_1$ which carries L into the operator $\partial/\partial t$ if the points in $M_0 \times \mathbb{R}$ are denoted by (y_0, t) .*
- (E)₁ *No complete integral curve of L is contained in a compact subset of M ,*
- (E)₂ *for every compact subset K of M there exist a compact subset K' of M such that every compact interval on an integral curve with endpoints in K is contained by K' .*

Proof. The equivalences (A), (B), (D) and (E) are merely restatement of Theorem 6.4.2 in [DH], but changing $C^\infty(M)$ by $\wedge^{\dim M} T^*M$ plays some minors modifications in the proof. We begin the proof by making a apology for the modification of (C)₂ into (C)₂'. In the original construction in Theorem 6.4.2 in [DH], to show that (f) implies (c) it is taken u , a solution of $L^2 u = f$ with $f > 0$ in M and the solution u

in (e) is obtained taking

$$u(y_0, t) = u_0(y_0) + \int_0^t (t-s)f(y_0, s) \, ds$$

where $u_0 \in C^\infty(M_0)$ and $M_0 \subset M$ is an embedded submanifold transversal to $\varphi_L(\cdot, t)$, the L -flow. Thus beside taking f to be a positive function we must choose f such that it is not summable along any forward orbit of the L -flow and letting u_0 becomes infinity outside arbitrarily larger compact subsets of M_0 to achieve the alluded properties of u in M . This condition on u_0 is obviously an empty condition when M_0 is compact and perhaps the the right statement of the condition (c) in Theorem 6.4.2 in [DH], beside the concavity of u with the respect to the L -flow, should be: *(C)'₂ if $\varphi(K) = \cup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \varphi_L(K, t)$ then for every compact subset $K \subset M$ the set $\{p \in \varphi_L(K, t) : u(p) \leq c\}$ is compact,* added as a alternative in the theorem above. We mean that there is no need to take a special initial data u_0 to establishes the equivalences in Theorem 6.4.2 in [DH]. We believe that the original idea in [DH] was to provide elegance and succinctness but the intrusion of this independent condition in the statement may misguide the reader to look at grown conditions into a wrong place. When we change $u \in C^\infty(M)$ by $\omega = u \omega_0 \in \wedge^{\dim M} T^*M$ then derivative along L becomes $\mathbf{L}_L(\omega) = d L \lrcorner \omega$ and

$$\mathbf{L}_L(u \omega_0) = d(L \lrcorner u \omega_0) = Lu \omega_0 + u d(L \lrcorner \omega_0) = [Lu + e_L u] \omega_0$$

for some smooth function e_L depending only on L and ω_0 . Thus a first order differential operator acting on the sections of $\wedge^{\dim M} T^*M$. In following the same steps above we solve

$$(L + e_L)^2 u = 1$$

so that along the L -flow u can not attain a maximum at any point of a orbit of L , unless it is constant over all integral curve since the maximum principle applies to this type of operators (see [B]). This will be enough to prove that $(C)_1$ implies (E_1) and $(C)_2$ implies (E_2) . Also it is easy to see that (D) trivially implies $(C)_1$ and also $(C)'_2$, because we can solve explicitly $(\partial/\partial t + e)^2 = 1$ since the solution of $(\partial/\partial t + e)u = v$ with initial data i^*e for $i : M_0 \longrightarrow M_0 \times \mathbb{R}$ with $i(x, t) = (x, t_0)$,

can be written down as $u(x, t) =$

$$u(x, t_0) + \exp \left(\int_0^{t-t_0} e(h + t_0) \, dh \right) \int_0^{t-t_0} v(s + t_0) \exp \left(- \int_0^s e(h + t_0) \, dh \right) \, ds.$$

We mean it will verify the property that for every compact subset $K \subset M$ the set $\{p \in \varphi_L(K, \mathbb{R}) : u(p) \leq c\}$ is compact. We recall that the initial condition being arbitrary can be chosen to have the property of compactness of the sublevel sets in M_0 . $\square$

Before continue we must remark the the extraordinary semblance between this form of presenting global solvability for a real vector field in a manifold with the parallelization Theorem A in [GS] but, in the absence of a Riemannian structure or the necessity of emptiness of the critical set of u .

We now intend to prove the analog of the Theorem 2.0.1. for a set of linearly independent vector fields $\{L_1, \dots, L_k\}$. We denote by $\mathfrak{L}$ the Lie algebra generated by $\{L_1, \dots, L_k\}$ and we assume involutivity (that is $\dim \mathfrak{L} = \dim \mathfrak{L}_p = k$ at every point $p \in M$). to do this we need to refine our concept of *convexity*. In the sequel for $\omega \in \wedge^{\dim M} T^*M$ we denote by $\mathcal{C}_\omega(p)$ the level set $\{q \in M : u(q) = u(p)\}$ and by $\mathcal{C}_\omega^-(K)$ the sublevel set $\{q \in M : u(q) \leq \sup_{p \in K} u(p)\}$, where $\omega = u \, \omega_0$.

Definition 2.0.2. Let (M, ω_0) be a positively oriented manifold and $\mathfrak{L}$ a finite dimensional lie algebra of vector fields in TM . We say that $\omega \in \wedge^{\dim M} T^*M$ is $\mathfrak{L}$ -convex if $L_L^2 \omega$ is a positive multiplier of ω_0 for every nonvanishing section $L \in \mathfrak{L}$. We define the $\mathfrak{L}$ -convex envelope by

$$\widehat{K}^\mathfrak{L} = \bigcap_{\omega} \mathcal{C}_\omega^-(K)$$

where ω runs over all $\mathfrak{L}$ -convex $\omega \in \wedge^{\dim M} T^*M$. We say that (M, ω_0) is *strictly* $\mathfrak{L}$ -convex if $\widehat{K}^\mathfrak{L} \cap \mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{L}(p)$ is compact for every compact subset and point p of M (in particular if $\widehat{K}_0^\mathfrak{L} \cap \mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{L}(p) \subset \text{int } K_1 \cap \mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{L}(p)$ then $K_0 \subset \text{int } \widehat{K}_1^\mathfrak{L}$ when *strictly* $\mathfrak{L}$ -convexity holds.)

We have learned in the previous Theorem that (M, ω_0) is strictly $\mathfrak{L}$ -convex if and only if every nonvanishing vector field $L \in \mathfrak{L}$ is globally solvable in $\wedge^{\dim M} T^*M$. We which to find conditions on a generating set of nonvanishing vector fields $\{L_1, \dots, L_k\}$

to find a global diffeomorphism from M onto an open neighborhood of $M_0 \times \{0\} \subset M_0 \times \mathbb{R}^k$ where each vector field L_j corresponds via the diffeomorphism to $\partial/\partial t_j$, being the corresponding orbits connected.

Theorem 2.0.3. *Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset TM$ be an involutive Lie algebra. If $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a $\{L_1, \dots, L_k\}$ of globally solvable vector fields then there exists another basis $\{\partial/\partial t_1, \dots, \partial/\partial t_k\}$ such that $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \times M_{k+1}$ is an embedding where the image of each $\mathcal{O}_a(p)$ is an open cell of $\mathbb{R}^k$ convex in the directions of $\{\partial/\partial t_1, \dots, \partial/\partial t_k\}$.*

Proof. It is evident that one could perform induction on $\dim \mathfrak{L}$ if at this step one can find another nonvanishing vector field $L_{k+1} \in \mathfrak{L}$ such that $[\partial/\partial t_j, L_{k+1}] = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, k$, that is a vector field tangent to M_0 . The projection $\partial/\partial t_{k+1}$ of L_{k+1} in $TM_k \simeq T\mathbb{R} \times M_{k+1}$ holds the property (D) in Theorem 2.0.1., thus being globally integrable and by construction $[\partial/\partial t_j, \partial/\partial t_{k+1}] = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, k$. Besides that it remains in $\mathfrak{g}_p$ at every $p \in M$, being in this way a vector field in $\mathfrak{g}$ which is globally integrable. This means that any orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}(p)$ is a complete intersection of homogeneous solutions of $\mathfrak{L}u = 0$. That is if we skip the tangent part to $T\mathbb{R}^k$ carrying L_{k+1} we obtain a nonvanishing vector field in TM_0 which is also globally solvable in M_0 . This enable us to write $M_{k+1} = \mathbb{R} \times M_{k+2}$ by Theorem 2.0.1. In this way $L_{k+1} = \partial/\partial t_{k+1} + X_{k+2}$ where X_{k+2} is tangente to $TM_{k+2} \cap \mathfrak{L}$. Observe that there is only an one step application of Theorem 2.0.1 in the inductive argument in the proof. $\square$

The map obtained maps diffeomorphically the orbits $\mathcal{O}^{\mathfrak{L}}(p)$ onto $\mathbb{R}^k$ and every smooth function in the ring $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{L}}(M) \subset C^\infty(M)$ annihilated by $\mathfrak{L}$ leave a unique trace in $M/\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$.

On the other hand the analogue of $\varphi_L(K, \mathbb{R})$ is the set $\cup_{p \in K} \mathcal{O}^{\mathfrak{L}}(p)$ and the analog condition now is to ask for sublevels of u to be compact in $\Pi^{-1}(\Pi(K)) = \cup_{p \in K} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}(p)$ where $\Pi : M \longrightarrow M/\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is the canonical projection onto the quotient space obtained from M by identifying the integral orbits of $\mathfrak{L}$ with points. Such quotient space is a Hausdorff space homeomorphic to the differentiable manifold M_0 and the ring of smooth functions $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{L}}$ annihilated by $\mathfrak{L}$. In particular we should note that in the

proof of Theorem 6.4.1 that (c) implies (d) is as a consequence of the maximum principle along the orbits of L . Thus generalization of the argument holds for an higher number of vector fields thanks to the Bony's maximum principle for the sum of squares operator is sufficient to take $\Delta^{\mathfrak{L}} u = \sum \mathbf{L}_{L_j}^2 u > 0$ to implies that there is no compact orbit $\mathcal{O}^{\mathfrak{L}}(p)$ for all $p \in M$. This suggest to introduce the condition (D) in the next theorem.

Theorem 2.0.4. *Let (M, ω_0) be an positively oriented manifold and $\mathfrak{L}$ a finitely generated Lie algebra by k -linearly independent vector fields $\{L_1, \dots, L_k\}$. Then the following conditions (A), (B), (C), (D) and (E) are equivalent:*

(A) $\mathbf{L}_L (\wedge^{\dim M} T^*M) = \wedge^{\dim M} T^*M$ for every nonvanishing $L \in \mathfrak{L}$,

(B) $[\mathbf{L}_L + a] (\wedge^{\dim M} T^*M) = \wedge^{\dim M} T^*M$ for every $a \in C^\infty(M)$ and nonvanishing $L \in \mathfrak{L}$,

(C) for every compact subset K of M there exist a compact subset K' of M such that every compact interval on an integral curve of an nonvanishing vector field $L \in \mathfrak{L}$ with endpoints in K is contained by K' ,

(D) for every nonvanishing vector field L and compact set $K \subset M$ there exist $\omega \in \wedge^{\dim M} T^*M$ such that $\mathbf{L}_L^2 \omega(p)$ is a positive multiplier of $\omega_0(p)$ and $\mathcal{C}_\omega(p) \cap \mathcal{O}^{\mathfrak{L}}(p)$ is compact for every $p \in K$.

(E) there exist a manifold M_0 and an open neighborhood M_1 of $M_0 \times 0$ in $M_0 \times \mathbb{R}^k$ and a differentiable covering map $\Phi : M \rightarrow M_1$ mapping each orbit $\mathcal{O}^{\mathfrak{L}}(p)$ difeomorphically onto an open convex cell in $\{p_0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k$ for some $p_0 \in M_0$.

Proof. By the previous Lemma we may assume that the generators are comutative, that is $[L_j, L_l] = 0$ for $j, l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. The equivalence between (A),(B) and (C) are obtained directly from the previous Theorem 2.0.1, but we need explain how to proceed in order to set the other equivalences. To prove the equivalence of (C) and (D) we first observe that (C) implies that the union of all segments of integral orbits of any nonvanishing $L \in \mathfrak{L}$ with endpoints in K is a bounded set indeed.

On the other hand if (D) holds, for each $p \in \partial \widehat{K}^{\mathfrak{L}}$ there is a $\mathfrak{L}$ -convex section $\omega \in \wedge^{\dim M} T^*M$ such that $u(p) = \max_{q \in K} u(q)$ with $\omega = u \omega_0$ and consequently

all compact interval of an integral curve of a nonvanishing vector field $L \in \mathfrak{L}$ with endpoint in p and starting at some point of K is entirely contained in $\widehat{K}^\mathfrak{L}$ and consequently (D) implies (C) since every other such interval with endpoints in K is automatically in $\widehat{K}^\mathfrak{L}$. Now in order to prove that (C) implies (D) we use the hypothesis that there exists a generating set of linearly independent vector fields $\{L_1, \dots, L_k\} \subset \mathfrak{L}$ and we consider the map $\Psi : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ given by $\Psi(p) = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$ the k - solutions of $L_j t_j = 1$, which when restricted to the orbits of $\mathfrak{L}$ is always a local diffeomorphism and in particular a locally convex open map. If we restrict Ψ to the preimage $\Pi^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$ for some compact subset of $\mathcal{K} \subset M/\mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{L}$ its become a proper map since by (C) the set $\Psi^{-1}(K) \cap \Pi^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$ for every compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^k$. It follows from the generalization of the Tietze-Nakajima theorem in [KB] that $\Psi(M)$ is an open convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^k$ with connected fibers (see [KB]) and lifting at $\Pi(p)$ the canonical frame $\{\partial/\partial t_1, \dots, \partial/\partial t_k\}$ of $T\mathbb{R}^k$ by means of the diffeomorphism $\Pi^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^k \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{L}(p)$ we obtain a *new* global frame of nonvanishing vector fields $\{L_1, \dots, L_k\}$ of

$$\cup_{p \in \Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{K})} T\mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{L}(p)$$

such that $[L_j, L_l] = 0$ and $L_j t_j = 1$. Now the intersection of the sublevel sets of $Q_{p_0}^\mathcal{K}(p) = (t_1(p) - t_1(p_0))^2 + \dots + (t_k(p) - t_k(p_0))^2$ with $\Pi^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$ are compact for any selected point $p_0 \in K$. Also $\Psi^{-1}(\Psi(p_0)) \cap \mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{L}(p_0) = p_0$ showing that each fiber of $\Psi|_{\Pi^{-1}(\mathcal{K})}$ is homeomorphic to $M/\mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{L}$. Consequently we may think in $\Pi|_{\Pi^{-1}(\mathcal{K})}$ as an projection onto $\Psi^{-1}(\Psi(p_0))$ and take advantage of its differentiable structure. Thanks to the given orientation ω_0 we can find a non vanishing differential form $\theta \in \wedge^{\dim M - k} T^* \Psi^{-1}(p_0)$ such that $d \Pi^* \theta \wedge dt_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt_k = 0$ being $\Pi^* \theta \wedge dt_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt_k$ a positive multiplier of ω_0 . Moreover the topological space $M/\mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{L}$ is paracompact so $\Psi^{-1}(\Psi(p_0))$ too. We can find a family of compact sets $\{\mathcal{K}_\alpha\}$ of $M/\mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{L}$ such that $\{\text{int } \mathcal{K}_\alpha\}$ has the finite intersection property and in particular there exists a partition of unit $\{\phi_\alpha\} \subset C_0(M/\mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{L}) \sim C_0(\Psi_\alpha^{-1}(\Psi_\alpha(p_{0,\alpha})))$ subordinated to $\{\mathcal{K}_\alpha\}$,

where Ψ_α is the analogue of Ψ for $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$. Now consider

$$\omega_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha} \exp(\lambda_\alpha Q_{p_0, \alpha}^{\mathcal{K}_\alpha}) \Pi^* \phi_\alpha \theta_\alpha \wedge dt_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_k$$

where θ_α is the analogue of θ for $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ and λ_α is a positive real number to be chosen.

Then ω is a positive multiplier of ω_0 and for any nonvanishing vector field $L = \sum c_j L_j \in \mathfrak{L}$ we get $\mathbf{L}_L^2 \omega_\alpha =$

$$\sum_{\alpha} 2 \left[\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_\alpha^2 c_j^2 t_j^2 + \lambda_\alpha (c_j^2 + t_j L_j c_j) \right] \exp(\lambda Q_{p_0, \alpha}^{\mathcal{K}_\alpha}) \Pi^* \phi_\alpha \theta_\alpha \wedge dt_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_k$$

being equal to $\sum_{\alpha} 2 \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_\alpha c_j^2 \Pi^* \phi_\alpha \theta_\alpha \wedge dt_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_k$ at $p_{0, \alpha}$. Consequently for each α and λ_α large enough such that $[2\lambda_\alpha^2 \sum_{j=1}^k c_j^2 + O_\alpha(\lambda_\alpha)] > 0$ in $\Omega \cap \Pi^{-1}(\mathcal{K}_\alpha)$ for any open *bounded* connected set $\Omega \subset M$. In particular for any compact subset of $K \subset \Omega$ if a segment of an orbit of L has endpoints in K then the entire segment is contained by $\mathcal{C}_\omega^-(K)$ which intersection with every orbit of $\mathfrak{L}$ is compact. Thus (C) implies (D). That (D) implies (C) is trivial and we reached the fourth equivalence. In the next step we consider the multi-flow generated by the vector field above, that is the function

$$\Phi : M \longrightarrow M/\mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{L} \times \mathbb{R}^k \sim \Psi^{-1}(\Psi(p_0)) \times \mathbb{R}^k$$

defined by $\Phi(p) = (\Pi(p), \varphi_{L_1}(p), \dots, \varphi_{L_k}(p)) = (\Pi(p), \Psi(p))$, where $p_0 \in M$ is fixed. The map is well defined since $[L_j, L_l] = 0$ for every $1 \leq j, l \leq k$ and it is a local diffeomorphism being it when restricted to any orbit of $\mathfrak{L}$ a global diffeomorphism since there exist only one point at $\Psi^{-1}(\Psi(p_0)) \cap \mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{L}(p)$. The remaining convexity in the statement is a direct consequence of the fact that when Φ is restricted to $\Pi^{-1}(\Pi(\mathcal{K}))$ for some compact subset $\mathcal{K} \subset M/\mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{L}$ satisfies the hypothesis of the generalized Tietze-Nakajima theorem in [KB]. The final step is trivial since the projection Ψ has convex image. $\square$

Once the conclusion that $\Psi(\Pi^{-1}(\mathcal{K}))$ is a open convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^k$ then it follows from Corollary 2.1.26 in [H] that there exist a locally convex nonconstant function defined in $\Psi(\Pi^{-1}(\mathcal{K}))$ with compact sublevels. So the proof can also follows taking the composition of Ψ such convex function.

When one can find a set of functions $Z_1, \dots, Z_{\dim M - k}$ in $\mathcal{A}^\mathfrak{L}(M)$ with linearly independent differentials we say that $\mathfrak{L}$ is globally integrable. The condition of $\mathfrak{L}$ being globally integrable and globally solvable imposes a topological condition on M . We mean the pull back of $dZ_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dZ_{\dim M - k}$ to any fiber of Ψ cannot vanishes so the fibers of Ψ are not compact. In particular when $k = \dim M - 1$ the fiber cannot be homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^1$. For example for $M = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ the radial vector field $\partial/\partial r$ is globally solvable but not globally integrable because the fibers of r are compact subsets of M homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^1$.

Corollary 2.0.5. *If (M, ω_0) is an manifold and $\mathfrak{L}$ is a Lie algebra generated by k -linearly independent vector fields, each one globally integrable and globally solvable. Then there exist a diffeomorphism Φ between M and an open convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^{\dim M}$.*

Proof. Take $\omega_0 = dZ_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dZ_{\dim M - k} \wedge t_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt_k$ with the t_j 's as in the previous theorem for the orientation of M to define the function Ψ . Then

$$\Phi(p) = (\Pi(p), \Psi(p)) = (Z_1(p), \dots, Z_{\dim M - k}(p), t_1(p), \dots, t_k(p))$$

fulfill the requirements for the covering map. Suppose that the cardinality of the fiber $\Phi(\Phi^{-1}(p_0))$ is greater than one. Since the fibers of $\Psi|_{\mathcal{K}}$ are connected for every compact subset $\mathcal{K} \subset M/\mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{L} \sim \Psi^{-1}(\Psi(p_0))$ it follows that there exist a regular curve $\gamma \subset \Psi^{-1}(\Psi(p_0))$ linking p_0 to a distinct point in $\Psi^{-1}(\Psi(p_0))$. The function $\exp(\lambda Q_{p_0}^Z(p)) = \exp(\lambda \sum_{l=1}^{\dim M - k} (Z_l(p) - Z_l(p_0))^2)$ attains minimum equal one at the boundary of γ and thus it must exists a point of maximum at the interior of γ . Set $\gamma' = \sum_{l=1}^{\dim M - k} b_l M_l \neq 0$ to compute the second derivative of $Q_{p_0}^Z$ as is

$$2 \sum_{j=1}^{\dim M - k} \lambda^2 (b_l(\gamma)(Z_j(\gamma) - Z_j(p_0))^2 + \lambda [b_l^2(\gamma) + M_l b_l(\gamma)(Z_j(\gamma) - Z_j(p_0))]) > 0$$

for large λ since γ is compact and consequently $\sup_{p \in \gamma} Q_{p_0}^Z(p) = \max_{p \in \partial \gamma} Q_{p_0}^Z(p)$ showing that $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_{\dim M - k})$ is a convex map with respect to the orbits of the vector fields $M = \sum_{j=1}^{\dim M - k} \lambda_j M_j$, with $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}$ and $Q_{p_0}^Z$ is a strictly convex function with respect the same orbits. This is a contradiction leading to the conclusion

that there exist only a point in $\Phi^{-1}(p_0)$ and therefore a global diffeomorphism. In particular the frame $\{M_1, \dots, M_{\dim M - k}\}$ is globally solvable and mapped by Z in $\{\partial/\partial Z_1, \dots, \partial/\partial Z_{\dim M - k}\}$ and the image of Z is a product of open intervals in $\mathbb{R}^{\dim M - k}$. Since we already know that the image of Ψ is convex we reached the last step in the proof. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [B] BONY, J.M. *Principe du maximum, inégalité de Harnack et unicité du problème de Cauchy pour les opérateurs elliptiques dégénérés*, Annales de l'institut Fourier, **19**, 277-304, (1969).
- [DH] DUISTERMAAT, J.J., HORMANDER, L. *Fourier Integral Operators II*, Acta Math., **128**, 183-269, (1972).
- [GS] GREENE, R.E., SHIOHAMA, K. *Convex functions on complete noncompact manifolds : differentiable structure*, Annales scientifiques de l'école Normale Supérieure **14**, 357-367, (1981).
- [H] HORMANDER, L. *Notions of Convexity* Progress in Mathematics, Birkhauser, **127**, (1994).
- [KB] KARSHON, Y., BJORNHAL, C. *Revisiting Tietze-Nakajima Local and Global Convexity for maps* To appear in the Canadian Journal of Mathematics, preprint: arXiv:math.CO/0701745 **25**, 1-15, (2007).
- [SF] SANTOS FILHO, J. R. DOS *Injective mappings and solvable vector fields of Euclidean Spaces, Topology and its Applications*, **136**, 261-274, (2004).
- [Su] SUSSMANN, H. *Orbits of families of vector fields and integrability of distributions* Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, **180**, 171-188, (1973).

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SÃO CARLOS, SÃO CARLOS, 13565-905, SP, BRAZIL

E-mail address: santos@dm.ufscar.br

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE PERNAMBUCO, RECIFE, 50740-540, PE, BRAZIL

E-mail address: joaquim@dmf.ufpe.br